Headache Medicine 2026, 17(1):75-85 ▪ p-ISSN 2178-7468, e-ISSN 2763-6178

Headache Medicine

DOI: 10.48208/HeadacheMed.2026.10

Review

Update on spontaneous intracranial hypotension

Marcio Nattan Portes Souza[1,2], Bernardo Uribe[3], Lucia Zavala[4], Reydmar López[5], Vanesa Nagel[6], Marcelo Calderaro[1,2], Diogo Edelmuth[1,2]

[1]Hospital das Clínicas de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
[2]Hospital Einstein Israelita, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
[3]Clínica San Marcel, Manizales, Caldas, Colombia
[4]University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
[5]University of Antioquia, San Vicente Fundacion Rionegro Hospital, Medellin, Colombia
[6]FLENI, Headache Service, Departament of Neurology, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Marcio Nattan Portes Souza
marcionattan@gmail.com

Edited by:
Marcelo Moraes Valença

Abstract

Background
Spontaneous intracranial hypotension (SIH) is a secondary headache disorder caused by spontaneous cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leakage, typically from dural tears, meningeal diverticula, or CSF venous fistulas, in the absence of preceding trauma or procedures. Although increasingly recognized, SIH remains underdiagnosed and frequently mistaken for primary headache syndromes.

Methods
This narrative review synthesizes current evidence on the epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, neuroimaging features, complications, and management of SIH. Recent literature and expert consensus were analyzed to integrate advances in imaging-based diagnosis and leak type specific therapeutic strategies.

Results
SIH arises from low CSF volume rather than true hypotension, leading to brain descent, venous engorgement, and the characteristic MRI features summarized by the SEEPS mnemonic: subdural collections, pachymeningeal enhancement, venous engorgement, pituitary enlargement, and brain sagging. Orthostatic headache is the hallmark symptom, though up to 15% of patients lack clear positional features. Associated symptoms include vestibulocochlear disturbances, neck or interscapular pain, cranial nerve palsies, and cognitive or motor dysfunction. Brain and whole spine MRI are first line diagnostic tools. MR myelography distinguishes SLEC positive (types 1 and 2) from SLEC negative (type 3) leaks, guiding targeted myelography with dynamic CT or digital subtraction techniques for leak localization. Treatment should be tailored to leak type and site: epidural blood or fibrin patching for dural and diverticular leaks, and transvenous embolization for CSF venous fistulas. Surgical repair is reserved for refractory or anatomically complex cases. Reported complications include subdural hematoma, cerebral venous thrombosis, superficial siderosis, and bibrachial amyotrophy.

Conclusions
SIH represents a heterogeneous spinal disorder with intracranial manifestations. Early recognition, standardized imaging algorithms, and multidisciplinary management are crucial to improving outcomes. Ongoing research into imaging biomarkers, predictors of response, and consensus based care pathways will help advance precision medicine in SIH.

Keywords:
Spontaneous intracranial hypotension
Cerebrospinal fluid leak
Orthostatic headache
CT myelography
Epidural blood patch

Received: November 18, 2025
Revised: February 10, 2026
Accepted: March 26, 2026

© Copyright 2025

Introduction

Spontaneous intracranial hypotension (SIH) is a syndrome resulting from a spontaneous spinal cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak along the spine, typically caused by a dural tear or a CSF–venous fistula (CVF), in the absence of precipitating factors such as major trauma, spinal surgery, lumbar puncture, or spinal anaesthesia (1). The estimated incidence is around 5 cases per 100,000 persons per year, and the condition is more common in middle-aged women, with a female-to-male ratio of approximately 3:2 (2). First described in 1938, SIH remains a diagnostic challenge (3). Although the term "hypotension" is used, only about one-third of patients demonstrate a CSF opening pressure below 6 cm H_2O, because the underlying mechanism primarily involves reduced CSF volume rather than isolated low pressure (4,5).

Orthostatic headache is the most frequent symptom, followed by nausea, neck pain and stiffness, auditory symptoms (such as tinnitus or hearing loss), and dizziness (1). Patients with SIH may also develop acute complications such as subdural haematoma or cerebral venous thrombosis, as well as more delayed complications including bibrachial amyotrophy and superficial siderosis (2,3). Neuroimaging is central to the diagnostic evaluation of SIH, with brain MRI demonstrating the typical intracranial manifestations, spinal MR myelography helping to distinguish dural tears from CSF–venous fistulas, and advanced myelographic modalities such as dynamic CT or digital subtraction myelography (DSM) being used to localize the precise site of CSF leakage.

Management should be tailored to the type and site of the leak and may include epidural blood or fibrin patching, surgical repair, or transvenous embolization. Because SIH can be misdiagnosed or remain unrecognized, it should be systematically considered in patients with new-onset daily headache or chronic daily headache of unclear cause (6). Although orthostatic headache remains the hallmark, some patients present with atypical or weakly positional patterns, further complicating diagnosis (7–9). Clinician awareness and a high index of suspicion are therefore essential to ensure timely recognition and appropriate management, as SIH generally has a favorable prognosis when treated adequately.

Clinical presentation

Orthostatic headache occurs in up to 98% of patients with SIH and is defined as a headache that worsens in the upright position and improves when supine (7). No strict temporal criteria exist regarding the latency between positional change and symptom variation. Earlier diagnostic criteria (ICHD-2) required headache worsening within 15 minutes of standing, but this specification was removed in the ICHD-3, reflecting the variability seen in clinical practice (10).

Headache usually begins abruptly, although gradual-onset or even thunderclap-like presentations can occur. It is usually bilateral and posterior, though it may also be unilateral or diffuse, occurring in occipital, frontal, fronto-occipital, or temporal regions, and is commonly described as pressing, tightening, or pulsatile. When pulsatile headache is accompanied by photophobia, phonophobia, and nausea, SIH is frequently mistaken for migraine. In a proportion of patients, the orthostatic component diminishes or disappears over time, which may lead to diagnostic delay (11).

A recent cross-sectional study proposed a standardized definition for orthostatic headache in SIH: baseline pain intensity ≤3 (on a 0–10 verbal rating scale) upon awakening in the supine position; onset within ≤4.5 hours after standing; time to peak intensity ≤7.5 hours; and resolution or return to baseline within 1.5 hours after lying down. Nonetheless, up to 15% of patients may lack a clear orthostatic component (12).

Vestibulocochlear symptoms (e.g. dizziness, vertigo, imbalance, tinnitus, or distorted hearing) occur in about half of patients, and cervical or interscapular pain is reported in roughly one-third (7). Cranial nerve palsies—most often abducens (sixth nerve) and, less commonly, oculomotor or trochlear—may result in horizontal diplopia. Other manifestations include blurred vision, photophobia, visual field defects, facial numbness, galactorrhoea, labyrinthine hydrops, radicular symptoms, altered consciousness, parkinsonism, gait instability, bibrachial amyotrophy, chorea, and cognitive decline, including a phenotype mimicking frontotemporal dementia, termed Frontotemporal brain sagging syndrome (13).

Complications

Although CSF hypotension is commonly regarded as a benign condition, several clinically relevant complications may arise, posing diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. The most frequent complication is the development of subdural fluid collections, which may prompt neurosurgical consultation. Importantly, headache in these patients is often incorrectly attributed solely to the presence of subdural collections. Such collections may range from hygromas—reported in up to half of patients with CSF hypotension—to subdural haematomas, with or without mass effect. Clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for SIH in patients presenting with bilateral subdural haematomas in the absence of typical risk factors. In most cases, treatment of the underlying CSF hypotension leads to resolution of these collections, and surgical evacuation should be reserved for those with significant mass effect and associated neurological deterioration (1,14). A less common but potentially more serious complication is cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT), which occurred in <1% of patients in a 202-patient series (1). When present, full-dose anticoagulation is required, posing an additional therapeutic dilemma, particularly in patients undergoing invasive interventions such as epidural blood patch or surgery.

Delayed complications may also occur, including bibrachial amyotrophy and superficial siderosis. Superficial siderosis has been reported in approximately 3% of cases and is characterized by the classic triad of progressive hearing loss, ataxia, and myelopathy. Neuroimaging typically demonstrates posterior fossa superficial siderosis, which helps distinguish it from convexity siderosis associated with prior subarachnoid haemorrhage, traumatic brain injury, or cerebral amyloid angiopathy (15).

Bibrachial amyotrophy, reported in ~1.5% of cases, may clinically mimic Hirayama disease, presenting as a segmental lower motor neuron syndrome affecting the brachial plexus distribution. The risk of bibrachial amyotrophy and superficial siderosis appears to be particularly elevated in patients with prolonged disease duration, reaching nearly 60% at 16 years of follow-up (16).

Pathophysiology: a spinal disease with intracranial manifestations

Spontaneous intracranial hypotension results from three main mechanisms of spinal CSF leak, classified as types 1, 2, and 3 (17). Type 1 leaks are dural tears, most often ventral and often related to calcified disc protrusions or osteophytes that puncture the dura, leading to rapid CSF flow and typically producing a spinal longitudinal extradural collection (SLEC-positive). Type 2 leaks arise from lateral dural tears, where thin-walled arachnoid outpouchings through a dural defect allow CSF to escape; these have been reported to be more common in patients with heritable connective-tissue disorders. Type 3 leaks correspond to CSF–venous fistulas, in which a direct communication between the subarachnoid space and a paraspinal vein permits unregulated shunting of CSF into the venous system, usually without an extradural collection (SLEC-negative). CVFs often occur near nerve-root sleeves and may coexist with diverticula, supporting the role of local meningeal weakness or abnormal venous anatomy.

These three types comprise the principal mechanisms of SIH and form the basis for diagnostic imaging algorithms and targeted treatment approaches.

The clinical manifestations of SIH arise primarily from low CSF volume rather than isolated low CSF pressure (1,18). Loss of CSF volume causes downward displacement of the brain, exerting traction on pain-sensitive structures such as meninges, bridging veins, and cranial nerves, which leads to orthostatic headache and associated symptoms. According to the Monro–Kellie doctrine, the cranial cavity maintains a fixed total volume; thus, CSF loss is compensated by venous engorgement and dural hyperaemia, explaining imaging findings such as pachymeningeal enhancement and venous-sinus distension. This compensatory response also increases craniospinal elastance, reflecting altered intracranial compliance (19). With prolonged leakage, compensatory mechanisms become less effective, leading to subdural collections, pituitary enlargement, and sometimes structural brain descent, which may account for atypical or non-positional headache presentations.

Imaging and diagnostic work-up

Imaging plays a key role in the diagnosis and management of patients with suspected SIH, both to confirm the syndrome and to define the leak type and site. Whenever possible, all patients should initially undergo brain MRI and whole-spine MRI (20). In many cases, this will be sufficient to confirm the diagnosis of SIH and to suggest the type of spinal CSF leak involved.

Brain MRI is the cornerstone for detecting classic signs of intracranial hypotension (figures 1 and 2), often summarized by the SEEPS mnemonic: subdural collections (S), pachymeningeal enhancement (E), venous engorgement (E), pituitary enlargement (P), and brain sagging (S) (21). Protocols for brain MRI should ideally include post-contrast images, as they are most sensitive for identifying pachymeningeal thickening/enhancement. Where contrast is contraindicated, T2-FLAIR may provide similar sensitivity (22). MRI of the brain is positive in at least 80% of patients with SIH. However, in patients with chronic dural tears (types 1 and 2 leaks), brain findings may normalize, and a negative study should not be interpreted as absence of a leak (23). Moreover, pachymeningeal enhancement and brain sagging may also be absent in the first 1–2 weeks of symptom onset (24).




Figure 1. Axial T1-weighted post-contrast MR image of the brain shows diffuse regular pachymeningeal thickening and enhancement.




Figure 2. Midline sagittal steady-state MR image of the brain shows brain sagging, with descent of the optic chiasm, floor of the third ventricle and mammillary bodies, with reduction of the cisterns of the posterior fossa.

MR myelography (MRM) is a non-contrast MRI of the whole spine with heavily T2-weighted, fat-saturated sequences (figure 3). Its main purpose is to distinguish patients with SLEC-positive leaks (dural tears, type 1 or 2) from those with SLEC-negative leaks (typically CSF–venous fistulas, type 3), as only the former will exhibit epidural fluid, often called spinal longitudinal epidural collections (SLEC) (25). Identification of SLEC both confirms the diagnosis of SIH in cases where the brain MRI was normal and narrows the aetiology toward a dural tear. In many cases, MRM brings additional information that may help narrow down the type of leak (e.g. lateral/sacral) as well as the probable level. Ventral thoracic SLEC is often associated with ventral leaks, whereas predominantly posterior fluid may point to lateral or sacral leaks. The identification of a pseudomeningocele next to a nerve-root sleeve ("bud-on-branch" sign) has been shown to be sensitive and highly specific for localizing the level of a lateral leak (26). Early data also suggest that ventral leaks may be identified by the flow-void sign or by dedicated high-resolution sequences (0.5-mm isotropic CISS) (27,28).

Once the diagnosis is confirmed and the presumed leak type is known, invasive myelographic modalities are usually necessary to pinpoint the exact site of CSF leakage (figures 4, 5 and 6) (6). This is most often performed using dynamic CT myelography (dCTM) or digital subtraction myelography (DSM). Both involve lumbar puncture, often with the patient in the Trendelenburg position, followed by rapid image acquisition during and after intrathecal injection of iodinated contrast. In dural tears, the diagnostic yield is very high, although a minority of patients may require repeated studies in cases of initially equivocal findings (29). For suspected CSF–venous fistulas, yield is highly dependent on brain MRI findings (30). Patients with brain MRI positive for signs of SIH will have a CVF identified in around 70% of cases, with incremental yield on subsequent studies (31). Patients with normal brain MRI, on the other hand, have much lower rates of CVF identification (~10%). In this scenario, looking for ancillary imaging signs—such as decreased perioptic nerve-sheath diameter on coronal orbital MRI or the presence of multiple thoracic meningeal diverticula—may help select patients for invasive imaging (32,33).




Figure 3. Axial T2-weighted MR myelography image shows circumferential spinal epidural fluid.



Figure 4. Axial dynamic CT myelography image in the prone position showing a ventral spinal CSF leak at the T2-T3 level, with active contrast leakage to the epidural space.




Figure 5. Axial dynamic CT myelography image in right lateral decubitus showing a lateral spinal CSF leak at the T11-T12 level, with active contrast leakage to the epidural space.




Figure 6. Axial maximum intensity projection (MIP) image of a decubitus CT myelography in the right lateral decubitus position showing a CSF-venous fistula on the right T10-T11 level, with opacification of intervertebral and paraspinal veins.




Figure 7. Algorithm for neuroimaging investigation in suspected spontaneous intracranial hypotension (SIH), illustrating a stepwise approach from initial brain MRI findings to advanced spinal imaging modalities. SLEC: spinal longitudinal extradural collection, DSM: Digital Subtraction Myelography, POTS: Postural Orthostatic Tachicardia Syndrome CTM: CT Myelography. Adapted from: Cheema et al.(34)




Figure 8. Algorithm for MRI-negative patients. EBP, epidural blood patch; LD-CTM, lateral decubitus CT myelography; LD-DSM, lateral decubitus digital subtraction myelography; MDT, multidisciplinary team; SIH, spontaneous intracranial hypotension. Adapted from: Cheema et al.(34)

Treatment options

Treatment for spinal CSF leaks is determined by the type and location of the defect identified on diagnostic studies such as myelography or MRI. The main therapeutic options include CT-guided epidural blood or fibrin patching, surgical repair, and transvenous embolization. When a large longitudinal epidural collection is present, the most likely cause is a type 1 or 2 leak (dural tear), and initial treatment usually consists of an epidural blood or fibrin injection (20,27). However, if an osteophyte is identified in association with a ventral tear, and EBP is not effective, surgical repair is often required for definitive treatment (20). In the absence of an extensive epidural collection, the mechanism is most likely a type 3 leak (CSF–venous fistula). For type 3 leaks, epidural blood patching is generally ineffective, and the treatment of choice in most cases is transvenous embolization (20,35,36). Surgical repair may be considered when embolization fails or is not feasible.

Epidural patches can be performed with autologous blood, and high-volume injections are preferred, as they allow dissemination to multiple spinal levels. Fibrin patches are reserved for focal leaks, leaks refractory to EBP, or for patients with contraindications to autologous blood. Empirical (non-targeted) patches have variable success rates (37–80%), but their efficacy is much lower in type 3 leaks (~14%) (7,35,37).

Given the considerable difference in prognosis after empirical treatment, a minimal diagnostic work-up with brain and spinal MRI should ideally be performed in all patients, whenever available. For those with positive brain MRI findings and a positive SLEC, the likelihood of a type 1 or type 2 leak is higher; therefore, an epidural blood patch may be performed even without precise leak localization. To improve efficacy, a minimum volume of 22.5 mL of autologous blood has been recommended (37). The most common adverse effects of patches include pain at the puncture site, radicular pain, and rebound intracranial hypertension. Patients who are refractory to non-targeted EBP should undergo CT myelography or DSM to identify the exact site of the leak, followed by a targeted EBP—with or without fibrin patch—or surgical repair, as indicated. After the procedure, the patient should remain supine for 2–24 hours, depending on institutional protocol. Activities that increase intrathoracic or intracranial pressure (e.g. Valsalva manoeuvres, heavy lifting) should be avoided for 4–6 weeks to minimize the risk of treatment failure (20).

In patients with positive brain MRI findings but negative SLEC, a type 3 fistula is the most likely mechanism, and further evaluation with CT myelography or DSM is strongly recommended to confirm the diagnosis. Transvenous embolization is the treatment of choice for most type 3 fistulas, with reported success rates of 84–95% (36,38). This procedure consists of endovascular occlusion of the involved vein by injecting a permanent embolic agent, yielding results comparable to surgery but with less morbidity and shorter recovery.

Surgical repair has been described for all three SIH mechanisms, with effectiveness in approximately 70–96% of cases (39,40). Microsurgical approaches are preferred because they provide adequate exposure with fewer complications. Reported complications include urinary retention, paraesthesia, haematoma, and lower-back pain.

Conservative management (bed rest, hydration, analgesia, caffeine, sometimes theophylline) should be offered to all patients, particularly in the acute or mildly symptomatic phase, but it should not delay or replace definitive treatment. Bed rest is only useful in the very acute phase (≤2 weeks); in chronic cases, it is counterproductive, as it promotes deconditioning (20,41). Oral hydration (2–2.5 L/day) is safe and may partially improve symptoms. SIH headaches often respond poorly to standard analgesics. Although NSAIDs and caffeine may provide partial relief in severe exacerbations, evidence for sustained benefit is limited (20). Peripheral nerve blocks can be considered as adjunctive symptomatic therapy, but they do not replace treatment of the underlying leak (42).

Conclusion and future perspectives

Spontaneous intracranial hypotension should be recognized as a syndrome encompassing multiple underlying pathologies rather than a single disease entity. This perspective captures the diversity of CSF-leak aetiologies, including dural tears, meningeal diverticula, and CSF–venous fistulas, each requiring a distinct diagnostic and therapeutic approach. Understanding this heterogeneity is crucial to improving outcomes.

The future direction of SIH management lies in personalized, imaging-driven strategies, integrating the clinical phenotype, imaging characteristics, and local expertise. Treatment should be guided by the suspected leak type and tailored to the individual. A multidisciplinary team—neurologists, neuroradiologists, anaesthesiologists/pain specialists, and neurosurgeons—is essential to achieve durable results.

Despite growing awareness, delayed recognition and diagnostic uncertainty are still common, often resulting in prolonged disability and impaired quality of life. The implementation of standardized diagnostic may help shorten diagnostic delays and improve prognosis. International registries and collaborative research networks will be crucial to align diagnostic criteria, collect real-world data, and evaluate long-term outcomes. Future studies should focus on validating imaging biomarkers, defining predictors of treatment response, and developing consensus-based clinical pathways.

Ultimately, embracing the syndromic nature of SIH and applying an individualized, multidisciplinary approach will pave the way toward more precise and timely care, with better quality of life for affected patients.

References

1. Schievink WI. Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension. New England Journal of Medicine 2021;385:2173–8. Doi:10.1056/NEJMra2101561.
2. Zander C, Wolf K, El Rahal A, Volz F, Beck J, Urbach H, et al. Spontaneous intracranial hypotension – a spinal disease. RöFo - Fortschritte Auf Dem Gebiet Der Röntgenstrahlen Und Der Bildgebenden Verfahren 2025;197:44–54. Doi:10.1055/a-2318-8994.
3. Palermo M, Sturiale CL, D'Arrigo S, Trevisi G. Targeted Versus NonTargeted Epidural Blood Patch for Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Eur J Neurol 2025;32. Doi:10.1111/ene.70239.
4. Kranz PG, Tanpitukpongse TP, Choudhury KR, Amrhein TJ, Gray L. How common is normal cerebrospinal fluid pressure in spontaneous intracranial hypotension? Cephalalgia 2016;36:1209–17. Doi:10.1177/0333102415623071.
5. Goddu SKG, Adiga CP, Kumar S, Goolahally LN, Kumar S. Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension: A Review of Neuroimaging and Current Concepts. Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging 2024;34:128–38. Doi:10.1055/s-0043-1774301.
6. Schievink WI. Misdiagnosis of Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension. Arch Neurol 2003;60:1713. Doi:10.1001/archneur.60.12.1713.
7. D'Antona L, Jaime Merchan MA, Vassiliou A, Watkins LD, Davagnanam I, Toma AK, et al. Clinical Presentation, Investigation Findings, and Treatment Outcomes of Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension Syndrome. JAMA Neurol 2021;78:329. Doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.4799.
8. Volz F, Wolf K, Fung C, Carroll I, Lahmann C, Lützen N, et al. Impact of Spinal CSF Leaks on Quality of Life and Mental Health and Long-Term Reversal by Surgical Closure. Neurol Clin Pract 2024;14. Doi:10.1212/CPJ.0000000000200272.
9. Kapan A, Waldhör T, Wöber C. Assessing the effects of spontaneous intracranial hypotension on quality of life, work ability and disability. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2025;137:148–62. Doi:10.1007/s00508-024-02423-4.
10. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia 2018;38:1–211. Doi:10.1177/0333102417738202.
11. Olesen J. Preface to the Second Edition. Cephalalgia 2004;24:9–10. Doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2003.00824.x.
12. Rau JC, Cutsforth-Gregory JK. Clinical Features and Diagnosis of Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension. Continuum (N Y) 2025;31:644–67. Doi:10.1212/cont.0000000000001566.
13. Mehta D, Cheema S, Glover S, Qureshi AM, Davagnanam I, Kamourieh S, et al. Defining the typical characteristics of orthostatic headache in patients with spontaneous intracranial hypotension. Cephalalgia 2025;45. Doi:10.1177/03331024241308154.
14. Wicklund MR, Mokri B, Drubach DA, Boeve BF, Parisi JE, Josephs KA. Frontotemporal brain sagging syndrome. Neurology 2011;76:1377–82. Doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182166e42.
15. Schievink WI, Maya MM, Moser FG, Tourje J. Spectrum of subdural fluid collections in spontaneous intracranial hypotension. J Neurosurg 2005;103:608–13. Doi:10.3171/jns.2005.103.4.0608.
16. Schievink WI, Maya MM, Harris J, Galvan J, Taché RB, Nuño M. Infratentorial Superficial Siderosis and Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension. Ann Neurol 2023;93:64–75. Doi:10.1002/ana.26521.
17. Schievink WI, Maya M, Moser F, Nuño M. Long-term Risks of Persistent Ventral Spinal CSF Leaks in SIH. Neurology 2021;97. Doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000012786.
18. Farb RI, Nicholson PJ, Peng PW, Massicotte EM, Lay C, Krings T, et al. Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension: A Systematic Imaging Approach for CSF Leak Localization and Management Based on MRI and Digital Subtraction Myelography. American Journal of Neuroradiology 2019. Doi:10.3174/ajnr.A6016.
19. Mokri B. Spontaneous Cerebrospinal Fluid Leaks: From Intracranial Hypotension to Cerebrospinal Fluid Hypovolemia—Evolution of a Concept. Mayo Clin Proc 1999;74:1113–23. Doi:10.4065/74.11.1113.
20. Callen AL, Pattee J, Thaker AA, Timpone VM, Zander DA, Turner R, et al. Relationship of Bern Score, Spinal Elastance, and Opening Pressure in Patients With Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension. Neurology 2023;100. Doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000207267.
21. Cheema S, Anderson J, Angus-Leppan H, Armstrong P, Butteriss D, Carlton Jones L, et al. Multidisciplinary consensus guideline for the diagnosis and management of spontaneous intracranial hypotension. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2023;94:835–43. Doi:10.1136/jnnp-2023-331166.
22. Carlton Jones L, Butteriss D, Scoffings D. Spontaneous intracranial hypotension: the role of radiology in diagnosis and management. Clin Radiol 2022;77:e181–94. Doi:10.1016/j.crad.2021.11.007.
23. Tosaka M, Sato N, Fujimaki H, Tanaka Y, Kagoshima K, Takahashi A, et al. Diffuse Pachymeningeal Hyperintensity and Subdural Effusion/Hematoma Detected by Fluid-Attenuated Inversion Recovery MR Imaging in Patients with Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension. American Journal of Neuroradiology 2008;29:1164–70. Doi:10.3174/ajnr.A1041.
24. Williams J, Brinjikji W, Cutsforth-Gregory JK. Natural history of spontaneous intracranial hypotension: a clinical and imaging study. J Neurointerv Surg 2023;15:1124–8. Doi:10.1136/jnis-2022-019300.
25. Chen S-T, Wu J-W, Wang Y-F, Lirng J-F, Hseu S-S, Wang S-J. The time sequence of brain MRI findings in spontaneous intracranial hypotension. Cephalalgia 2022;42:12–9. Doi:10.1177/03331024211044424.
26. Callen AL, Timpone VM, Schwertner A, Zander D, Grassia F, Lennarson P, et al. Algorithmic Multimodality Approach to Diagnosis and Treatment of Spinal CSF Leak and Venous Fistula in Patients With Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension. American Journal of Roentgenology 2022;219:292–301. Doi:10.2214/AJR.22.27485.

27. Lützen N, Beck J, Carlton Jones L, Fung C, Demerath T, Rau A, et al. MRI and Surgical Findings Refine Concepts of Type 2 Cerebrospinal Fluid Leaks in Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension. Radiology 2025;314. Doi: 10.1148/radiol.241653.
28. Carlton Jones L, Edelmuth DGL, Butteriss D, Scoffings DJ. "Flow Void Sign": Flow Artifact on T2-Weighted MRI Can Be an Indicator of Dural Defect Location in Ventral Type 1 Spinal CSF Leaks. American Journal of Neuroradiology 2025;46:211–8. Doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A8445.
29. Wegscheid ML, Chatterjee AR, Raji CA, Reis MN, Fleege NP, Azad SN, et al. Mesoscale CISS Imaging for the Detection of Dural Defects in Spinal CSF Leaks 2025. Doi: 10.1101/2025.07.14.25331467.
30. Rajbhandari S, Petutschnigg T, Häni L, Nasiri D, Goldberg J, Schankin C, et al. Locating Spinal Leaks in Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension: How Many Dynamic Myelographies Does It Take? American Journal of Neuroradiology 2025. Doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A9032.
31. Tay AS-MS, Maya MM, Kranz PG, Madhavan AA, Schievink WI. Systematic Review of the Predictive Value of Negative Brain or Low Probability Brain MRIs in Patients with CSF-Venous Fistulas. American Journal of Neuroradiology 2025;46:2673–9. Doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A8884.
32. Gibby JT, Amrhein TJ, Young DS, Houk JL, Kranz PG. Diagnostic Yield of Decubitus CT Myelography for Detection of CSF-Venous Fistulas. American Journal of Neuroradiology 2024;45:1597–604. Doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A8330.
33. Schievink WI, Maya MM, Tay AS-MS, Nisson PL, Acharya J, Taché RB, et al. Optic Nerve Sheath MR Imaging Measurements in Patients with Orthostatic Headaches and Normal Findings on Conventional Imaging Predict the Presence of an Underlying CSF-Venous Fistula. American Journal of Neuroradiology 2024;45:655–61. Doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A8165.
34. Cheema S, Anderson J, Angus-Leppan H, Armstrong P, Butteriss D, Carlton Jones L, et al. Multidisciplinary consensus guideline for the diagnosis and management of spontaneous intracranial hypotension. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2023;94:835–43. Doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2023-331166.
35. Schievink WI, Maya M, Prasad RS, Wadhwa VS, Cruz RB, Moser FG, et al. Spontaneous spinal cerebrospinal fluid-venous fistulas in patients with orthostatic headaches and normal conventional brain and spine imaging. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 2021;61:387–91. Doi: 10.1111/head.14048.
36. Kranz PG, Amrhein TJ, Gray L. CSF Venous Fistulas in Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension: Imaging Characteristics on Dynamic and CT Myelography. American Journal of Roentgenology 2017;209:1360–6. Doi: 10.2214/AJR.17.18351.
37. Orscelik A, Senol YC, Musmar B, Kobeissi H, Bilgin GB, Zandpazandi S, et al. Endovascular embolization of cerebrospinal fluid-venous fistula: a comprehensive systematic review on its efficacy and safety for the management of spontaneous intracranial hypotension. Neurosurg Rev 2024;47:28. Doi: 10.1007/s10143-023-02264-1.
38. Wu J-W, Hseu S-S, Fuh J-L, Lirng J-F, Wang Y-F, Chen W-T, et al. Factors predicting response to the first epidural blood patch in spontaneous intracranial hypotension. Brain 2017;140:344–52. Doi: 10.1093/brain/aww328.
39. Brinjikji W, Savastano LE, Atkinson JLD, Garza I, Farb R, Cutsforth-Gregory JK. A Novel Endovascular Therapy for CSF Hypotension Secondary to CSF-Venous Fistulas. American Journal of Neuroradiology 2021;42:882–7. Doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A7014.
40. Gensler R, Balu A, Kraus A, Buck P, Bryant J-P, Cobourn K, et al. Surgical Treatment of Spontaneous Intracranial Hypotension From Spinal Cerebrospinal Fluid Leak: Single Institution Case Series. Neurosurgery 2025;97:1132–40. Doi: 10.1227/neu.0000000000003543.
41. Konovalov A, Gadzhiagaev V, Vinogradov E, Nikitin N, Eliava S, Konovalov N. Surgical Treatment Efficacy of CSF-Venous Fistulas: Systematic Review. World Neurosurg 2022;161:91–6. Doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2022.02.036.
42. Hong JH, Lee HW, Lee YH. Greater occipital nerve blockade using ultrasound guidance for the headache of spontaneous intracranial hypotension - A case report -. Anesth Pain Med (Seoul) 2022;17:62–6. Doi: 10.17085/apm.21082.

Marcio Nattan Portes Souza
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4841-4491
Bernardo Uribe
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8543-5625
Lucia Zavala
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6998-857X
Reydmar López
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4079-6755
Vanesa Nagel
https://orcid.org/0009-0001-2329-8263
Marcelo Calderaro
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0456-1089
Diogo Edelmuth
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0567-0823

Authors contributions: MNPS, DE: Conception; MNPS, DE, BU, LZ, RL, VN, MC: Data acquisition, Analysis, Interpretation. All authors critically revised the manuscript, approved the final version, and take full responsibility for its accuracy and integrity.
Conflict of interest: None.
Funding: No funding was received for the preparation of this manuscript.